

### Projects agreed before 2010

The table below highlights the schemes agreed before 2010, and provides a reason for the delay in their progression. Members need to confirm their approval for the project to stay in the capital programme.

Project	Date Funding Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
New Car Parks	Feb 2008	818	442	Awaiting decision on progressing Millers site, Crewkerne and purchase of Doctor's Surgery, Somerton.	The Council would not be able to meet its requirements under the car parking strategy.
Dual Use Sports Grants	Feb 2005	260	47	£40,000 is allocated for the Holyrood project, and an in-principle offer has been made to the Academy.	The loss of the £40,000 grant allocated in principle to the Holyrood Academy in 2014/15 would prevent the AGP surface from being replaced, putting the facility at risk of being closed unless alternative funding can be found by the Academy.  The reputational damage would be extremely detrimental to the future dual use provision at this site. This provision is a vital component of the sport and leisure strategy and the network of facilities for residents.
Reckleford Gyratory	Feb 2007	1,721	88	Remaining budget will be allocated to remaining works in 2016/17.	Commissioned traffic assessment for Wyndham Street/Reckleford area - awaiting results from SCC. Remaining budget still to be spent on traffic lights and associated remodelling of footways by County Council - see also comment below about unallocated funding. Budget re-profiled to 16/17.
Local Delivery Vehicle (Yeovil Vision)	Feb 2009	100	34	Original budget changed as some transferred direct to individual projects. Remaining budget to be allocated to Yeovil Vision projects.	
Foundry House	Apr 99 *	883	4	* Subsequent reports to DX since this date.	New project to be identified to spend remaining money in line with DCLG grant.

Project	Date Funding Agreed	Original Budget £'000	Remaining Budget £'000	Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)	Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)
Market Towns Vision	Feb 2006	438	64	<p>Delivery is dependent on local capacity to champion schemes and public sector capacity to engage with delivery issues – e.g Coach Parking – both of which can be limited at times.</p> <p>Lower than anticipated take up of the Econ Dev Programme for 2015/16 with spend for the year at £19K.</p> <p>Remaining budget profiled for 2016/17.</p>	<p>MTIG was modelled on the concept of a local brokering table. Specific Capital Investment Programmes emerge through opportunity and negotiation. The total amount made available through MTIG is more like the capital programme managed in each of the Areas and will contain unallocated balances. It is not one scheme and does not have an end date as such and so has not been delayed in any formal sense.</p> <p>The budget remains central to the continuation of this collaborative work. If the capital funds were withdrawn, the raison d'être for the MTIG would disappear. The rate of spending is mostly determined by the capacity of SSDC, town councils and local regeneration groups to organise and deliver sound schemes together. This is limited by a variety of local and district wide circumstances. Measures to increase that capacity are possible but would require either increased revenue spend or a further review and re focussing of Area Development Work in North, West and East to invest more in the specific development of MTIG.</p>
Multi Use Games Area's	Feb 2008	370	70	<p>Discussions are continuing with Bruton for possible allocation of some of the funding.</p> <p>Assessment of allocation of remainder of funding to be carried out.</p>	<p>The Council would be unable to provide financial support MUGA projects it has promoted. The reputational damage would be high in both communities.</p> <p>No grant offer has been made to reallocate the £70,000 at present. However, removal of the funding would prevent the Council from being able to support two more schemes.</p>

<b>Project</b>	<b>Date Funding Agreed</b>	<b>Original Budget £'000</b>	<b>Remaining Budget £'000</b>	<b>Reason for Delay (Update from Officer)</b>	<b>Risks of not retaining funding (Update from Officer)</b>
Community Play Schemes	Feb 2007	539	12	Remaining budget for Lavers Oak. Plans are done, local consultation being carried out prior to orders being placed.	The Council would be unable to maintain and replace the play areas it owns and manages to the required standards. Children would be put at risk. The reputational damage would be high.
Grants for Parishes with play	Feb 2008	718	69	Still awaiting claim from Henhayes for their funding as scheme completed.  Ilton underway but linked to other projects in area so slightly delayed therefore re-profiled to 16/17.  Rickhayes are actively fundraising for scheme, which is profiled for 16/17.	The Council would be unable to financial support parish play area projects it has promoted and where local expectations have been raised. Non-payment of contracts would place Parish Councils in breach of contract. Children may be put at risk. The reputational damage would be high.
Land Acquisition & Enhancement at Waterside Road	Feb 2008	35	35	No spend. Negotiations have moved forward and an offer has been made to the land owner which is still under consideration.	These works and our ability to exercise the option to acquire a car parking area would not happen if the capital allocation is withdrawn. The consequence would be long term decline of this amenity area and increased risk from the unmaintained area. Portfolio view is that we negotiate with the owner on a value of the car park area and proceed with this asap.